

Wojciech Majewski

Institute of Meteorology and Water Management Warsaw, Poland



STUDIES FOR NUCLEAR AND PUMPED-STORAGE POWERPLANT ŻARNOWIEC







GENERAL INFORMATION

- In the 70-ies in Poland there was the shortage of electric energy and peak power energy in particular
- Proposal for a pumped-storage and nuclear power plants at the location Żarnowiec, 1972
- Pumped-storage power-plant (PS) 716 MW (4 reversible units), use of the lake as lower reservoir, upper reservoir artificial
- Nuclear power-plant (NP) 4x440 MW_E (PWR), use of the lake as cooling water reservoir
- Advantage of this system no losses for the transmission of electric energy from NP to PS
- 1973 beginning of comprehensive studies (lake and the region)
- 1976 beginning of the construction of PS, completion 1983
- 1982 beginning of the construction of NP, 1990 closure
- 2010 Proposal of NP in Żarnowiec

AIM AND THE SCOPE OF THE STUDIES

AIM OF THE STUDIES:

 provide necessary information for the design and future exploitation of PS and NP

SCOPE OF THE STUDIES

- Hydrologic, hydrodynamic, thermal and hydro-biological studies of the lake in natural conditions,
- Meteorological conditions in the region of the lake
- Catchment of the lake
- Thermal regime of the lake under the influence of heated water discharge
- Water balance of the lake under the influence of heated water discharge and operation of PS
- Hazard to NP and possible consequences in case of damage of the upper reservoir of PS

PUMPED-STORAGE POWER-PLANT





 Upper reservoir: artificial, surface area 135 ha, total capacity 15.9 mln. m³, operational capacity 13.8 mln. m³, water level variation 16 m

- 4 penstocks: length 1100 m, diameter 7.10 to 5.40 m, discharge 700 m³/s
- PS: 4 reversible Francis units, 716 MW, max.head 125 m
- Outflow channel: length 835 m, max. Depth 13 m, bottom width 100 m, flow velocity 1m/s
- Lower reservoir: Lake Żarnowiec, daily water level variation 1 m

LAKE ŻARNOWIEC



- good fishery state
- good water quality
- use for recreation

- glacial origin
- Catchment Piaśnica River
- Surface area 1432 ha (1330 1520)
- Depth: maximum 19.4 m, average 8.4 m, water level 1.34 m asl
- Volume 120 mln.m³ (113 127)
- Length 7610 m
- Maximum width 2600 m
- Water temperature: maximum 23 °C, average 8 – 9 °C
- Wave height (max.) 1.5 m
- Wind speed (max.) 20 m/s
- Surface inflow: 2 rivers, 1.6 m³/s
- Underground inflow $0.6 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- Water abstraction for irrigation during vegetation season up to 2 m³/s
- In winter covered with ice (0.5 m)
- 1 natural outflow to the sea (5 km)

NUCLEAR POWER-PLANT

• 4 x 440 MW (PWR)



• Cooling water 90 m³/s, $\Delta T = 9.2 \ ^{0}C$





HOW NUCLEAR POWER PLANT WORKS



LAKE THERMAL REGIME (natural conditions)

Longitudinal lake cross-section

Lake surface temperatures



LAKE THERMAL REGIME

(heated water discharge)



- Hydrothermal model of the lake with water intake and discharge as well as discharge from PS to estimate flow pattern over lake surface
- Calculation of heat losses for various meteorological conditions
- Calculation of heat losses along discharge channel

WATER BALANCE (Natural conditions)



- Surface of Piaśnica catchment 310 km²
- Natural surface inflows
- Groundwater inflow
- Natural outflow to the sea
- Water levels in the lake
- Precipitation
- Evaporation
- Water abstraction for irrigation
- Land use: agriculture, forests, meadows, recreation, wasteland

WATER BALANCE OF THE LAKE DURING THE OPERATION OF PS AND NP

DATA NECESSARY FOR CALCULATIONS

- Calculations for one year (1966) with time step of 1 h
- Water levels in the lake 2.70 to 0.70 m asl with control of the outflow to the Lower Piaśnica and irrigation
- Water surface area of the lake and the upper reservoir
- Surface inflow to the lake (average monthly values) $1.32 2.28 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- Groundwater inflow $0.43 0.79 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
- Precipitation 0.63 2.32 mm/day
- Natural evaporation 0.32 3.84 mm/day
- Additional evaporation 5.59 7.31 mm/day
- Irrigation 2.58 0.44 m³/s

(vegetation season May – September)

• Minimum biological outflow from the lake 0.40 m³



VOLUME AND SURFACE AREA OF THE LAKE ŻARNOWIEC



VOLUME AND SURFACE AREA IN UPPER RESERVOIR PS



OPERATION OF PS



RESULTS OF CALCULATIONS



STUDY OF THE DAMAGE OF UPPER RESERVOIR PS



- 5 breach places were chosen in the deepest part of the reservoir
- •Place 1 and 2 appeared most dangerous for cooling water intake of NP.
- Place 3 causes the damage of PS
- Places 4 and 5 caused damage to the switchyard
- Hydraulic model in scale 1:250 without scale distortion was prepared in hydraulic laboratory of HYDROPROJEKT Włocławek
- Velocity of the wave on the slopes ranged from 14 to 20 m/s
- Thickness of the wave front reaching the lake was 1.4 2.0 m
- Wave height on the lake was 1.0 2.0 m
- Dangerous phenomenon was density current on the lake

CHANGES IN NP COOLING SYSTEM AS THE RESULT OF HYDRAULIC MODEL STUDY



- Change of cooling system was necessary
- Water intake was moved to the deepest part of the lake
- The area of switchyard was raised higher about 1.0 m
- PS is still endangered in case of breach of upper reservoir
- Density cuurent moving along the lake and transporting large amount of sedimentmay be still dangerous to water intake

CONCLUDING REMARKS

- Studies and the design for both powerplants may be regarded as sufficient and represent good standard
- There were several additional actions for the future of nuclear energy in Poland (special meteorological station, inventory of vegetation around the place of NP
- Execution of all constructions represented the highest standard and majority of all equipment would be produced in Poland
- Decision about closing of the construction of NP. was in agreement with social expectations, however, government did not try to persuade people that NP is necessary
- From technical, economical and future of nuclear energy in Poland it was wrong decision
- Continuation of the construction with at least one reactor would give us rich experience and would not cause such enormous economic loss

• ŻAROWIEC IS THE NAME OF SETELMENT, LAKE AND BOTH POWERPLANTS. WHERE IT COMES FROM ?

• IT IS THE NAME OF A BUSH GROWING IN THIS REGION WITH BEAUTIFUL YELLOW FLOWERS

