

Technische Universität Braunschweig



# Spatial variability, mean drag forces, and coefficients in an array of rigid cylinders

Thomas Schoneboom Jochen Aberle Andreas Dittrich

DFG

Leichtweiß-Institute for Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources

#### Contents

- Introduction, Motivation & Objective
- Experiments
  - Drag force measurement device
  - Flume characteristics
  - Experimental procedure
- Results
  - Drag forces
  - Drag coefficients
  - Comparison between measured and predicted values
- Summary & Conclusions





## **INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION** & OBJECTIVE





#### Introduction

- Flow-vegetation interaction is important in many ecological and engineering applications
  - River restoration
  - Sediment transport
  - Flood risk management
- Riparian vegetation increases flow resistance resulting in
  - Decreasing conveyance capacity
  - Increasing flood levels
- Quantitative understanding of flow-vegetation interaction is of fundamental importance.









#### Introduction

Flow resistance:

$$\rho ghS = \sum F_D / b\Delta l + \tau_0'$$

Vegetative drag:

$$F_D = \frac{1}{2} \rho C_D A_P u_0^2$$

- $\rho$  = fluid density,  $C_D$  = drag coefficient,  $u_0$  = mean flow velocity,  $A_P$  = plant projected area
- Vegetation is in many cases simplified by rigid cylinders

1

- $A_P = h \cdot d = constant$
- $C_D = f(Re_S)$
- u<sub>0</sub> ≈ u<sub>approach</sub> for a single, isolated cylinder







#### Introduction

- Multicylinder array
  - wakes and sheltering effects of upstream cylinders influence the flow field
  - mean flow velocity  $u_0$  differs substantially from individual approach velocity  $u_0 \neq u_i$
  - C<sub>D</sub>-Re relationship for a cylinder only valid with approach velocity u<sub>i</sub>
- C<sub>D</sub>- dependencies in a multicylinder array with u<sub>0</sub>
  - diameter d
  - spacing  $a_x$ ,  $a_y$
  - slope S
  - individual approach velocity  $u_{0,i}$
  - Information about the velocity distribution in a multicylinder array is required to estimate C<sub>D</sub>-values







#### Lindner (1982)

• Estimation of C<sub>D</sub> in a multicylinder array by using the mean flow velocity u<sub>0</sub>









#### Lindner (1982)

- Superposition of velocity defects
  - theoretically infinitely long
  - $u_{0,n}/u_0$  roughly constant for n = 20 cylinder
- Qualitative findings
  - increasing  $u_{0,20}/u_0$  with increasing  $a_x/d$  for  $a_x/d < 40$
  - decreasing  $u_{0,20}/u_0$  with increasing  $a_y/d$  for  $a_y/d < 10$
  - $u_{0,20}/u_0$  staggered > in-line
  - $u_{0,20}/u_0$  decreases with increasing  $u_0$
- Verification of the approach in a flume study by measured flow velocities
- Direct drag force measurements on single element in an array showed a spread of ±30% (Li & Shen 1973)







#### **Motivation & Objective**

#### Motivation

Lindner approach has not been tested using measured drag forces

#### Objective

- Test of applicability of the Lindner approach by comparing measured and computed drag coefficients
- Direct and multiple drag force measurement in an array of cylinders dependent on
  - flow velocities
  - vegetation arrangement
- Analysis of spatial variability of the drag forces







## EXPERIMENTS





#### **Experiments – Flume characteristics**



- Tilting flume
  - 32 m long, 0.6 m wide, 0.4 m deep
  - Pyramid shaped rubber mat, k = 3 mm
- Measurement section
  - 1.5 m long, 15.1 m downstream from flume inlet
  - 10 DFS
- Vegetation elements
  - 18.5 m long, 6 m downstream from flume inlet
  - Cylinders, Ø = 1 cm, height = 23 cm
- Flow conditions
  - steady uniform flow conditions
  - constant water depth h = 0.25 m (just submerged)
  - flow velocities u<sub>0</sub> = 0.20 0.70 m/s



Technische
Universität
Braunschweig





#### **Experiments – Drag Force Measurement System**

 Drag Force Measurement System (DFS)  $F_d = \frac{M_1 - M_2}{l}$  $F_{D}$ where  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$  = moments at Pos. 1 and 2 പ I = distance between Pos. 1 and 2  $\sim$ Ξ rubber mat head plate flume bottom Standard error ±0.02 N Sampling frequency 200 Hz **↓** Pos. 2 Η, 🕂 bending steel beam Simultaneous measurement of 10 DFS –Pos. 1 I←base plate





#### **Experimental procedure**







### RESULTS





#### **Results – Drag forces**

- Large F<sub>D</sub>-variability for both arrangements
  - -28% to +27% in-line
  - -23% to +19% staggered
- Significantly larger drag forces for staggered than for the in-line pattern







#### **Results – Drag coefficients**

- Large C<sub>D</sub>-variability for both arrangements
  - -30% to +28% in-line
  - -18% to +22% staggered

(in accordance with measurements of Petryk, 1969)

- Larger drag coefficients for staggered than for the inline pattern
- Estimation of <F<sub>D</sub>> or <C<sub>D</sub>> might result in large degree of uncertainty if only one vegetation element is considered







#### **Results – Spatially averaged drag forces and coefficients**

 Consistently larger <F<sub>D</sub>> and <C<sub>D</sub>> for staggered than for the in-line pattern

 $\langle F_D \rangle \approx 1.42 \ u_0^2 \text{ (staggered)}$ 

 $< F_D > \approx 1.14 \ u_0^2 \text{ (in-line)}$ 

 Agrees well with findings of Li & Shen (1972) and Lindner (1982)







## Results – Lindner (1982) approach in comparison with measured $C_D$ -values

- Neglecting array arrangement results in error related to <*C*<sub>D</sub>>
- in-line: measured values underestimated by ~16%
- staggered: measured values overestimated by ~5%
- small deviations indicate applicability of Lindner (1982) approach







#### **Summary & Conclusions**

- Measured drag forces and coefficients
  - spatial variability significant, deviation from spatial mean ±30%
  - values larger for staggered setup than for in-line
- Good agreement between measured and predicted values by Lindner (1982)
  - deviations -16% for in-line and +5% for staggered
- Estimation of C<sub>D</sub> in a multicylinder array based on single, isolated cylinder analogy is a crude approximation







### Thank you for your attention!

Leichtweiß-Institute for Hydraulic Engineering and Water Resources