



Institute of Geophysics Polish Academy of Sciences



The new insights on the study of biomechanics of aquatic plants

A part of the "Sonata" grant project: 'Field experimental investigation of hydrodynamics of water flow-vegetationsediment interactions at the scale of individual aquatic plant'.

Anna Łoboda, M.Sc.

The Department of Hydrology and Hydrodynamics Institute of Geophysics PAS

May 24, 2017

The subject of study

Based on papers under review in:

Frontiers in Plant Science:

Łoboda, A.M., Przyborowski, Ł., Karpiński, M., Bialik, R.J., Nikora, V.I. Biomechanical properties of river plants: the effect of test conditions



Aquatic Botany:

Łoboda, A.M., Bialik, R.J., Przyborowski, Ł., Karpiński, M. The seasonality of changes in biomechanical properties of Elodea canadensis Michx.



Presentation Outline



- Identification of plants
- Methodology



Results





The motivation for this research



Fig. 1. River vegetation (http://galeria.swiatkwiatow.pl)

The motivation for this research

The study of the structures and functions of biological systems from the phylum Plantae with the use of concepts and methods taken from mechanics.

The motivation for this research



The interest of the phenomena occuring in the vegetated rivers is still growing. Lots of lab research are concentrated on study of velocity distribution, flow resistance and turbulence with use of artificial plants made from different materials.

Why the biomechanics is important?



Fig. 4. Flow patterns at patch scale: (a) side view considering patch mosaic structure and (b) plan view at patch scale (Aberle and Järvelä 2015)

The main goals

What is the difference in outcomes between tests conducted in dry and wet conditions?

How important is measuring of biomechanical properties of aquatic plants in wet conditions?

How one of the most common submerged macrophytes, namely Elodea canadensis Michx. changes the biomechanical properties during its life cycle?

Sampling sites



<u>Plants from the Świder River:</u> *Potamogeton pectinatus L. Potamogeton crispus L. Myriophyllum spicatum L. Ceratophyllum demersum L.*

<u>Plants from the Wilga River:</u> *Potamogeton pectinatus L. Potamogeton crispus L. Elodea canadensis Michx.*

Fig. 5. Sampling sites on the Świder River (1) and the Wilga River (2) (www.geoportal.gov).

Identification of plants



Fig. 6. Photographs of tested specimens, from the left to right: *P. crispus L., M. spicatum L., C. demersum L., P. pectinatus L., E. canadensis Michx.* The bar has lenght of 50 mm.



Fig. 7. Cross-sections of tested plants, from the left to right: *P. crispus L., M. spicatum L., C. demersum L., P. pectinatus L., E. canadensis Michx.*

Methodology: Equipment – Bench Top Testing Machine



Fig. 8. Photographs of Bench Top Testing Machine.

Methodology: Why the wet conditions are important?



Fig. 9. Changes of diameter of stem cross-section of Potamogeton pectinatus L. within 4 minutes.

Methodology: Why the wet conditions are important?

Tab. 1. Outcomes of the three-point bending tests for Potamogeton pectinatus L., Potamogeton crispus L. and Myriophyllum spicatum L. under dry and wet conditions.

PARAMETER		POTAMOGETON PECTINATUS L.		POTAMOGETON CRISPUS L.		MYRIOPHYLLUM SPICATUM L.	
		dry conditions	wet conditions	dry conditions	wet conditions	dry conditions	wet conditions
		108 samples	111 samples	159 samples	159 samples	20 samples	20 samples
		Mean ± S.D.	Mean ± S.D.	Mean ± S.D.	Mean ± S.D.	Mean ± S.D.	Mean ± S.D.
Diameter	[mm]	1.23 ± 0.36	1.35 ± 0.40	1.96 ± 0.35	2.04 ± 0.40	2.24 ± 0.40	2.30 ± 0.26
Maximum force	[N]	0.023 ± 0.017	0.026 ± 0.027	0.059 ± 0.044	0.064 ± 0.043	0.042 ± 0.028	0.054 ± 0.033
Maximum stress	[MPa]	0.0037 ± 0.0055	0.0023 ± 0.0023	0.0194 ± 0.0116	0.0191 ± 0.0095	0.0103 ± 0.0063	0.0123 ± 0.0069
Flexural strain	[%]	4.70 ± 1.69	4.81 ± 2.56	7.15 ± 2.21	7.66 ± 2.47	8.68 ± 1.66	10.18 ± 1.50
Maximum deflection	[mm]	14.14 ± 3.39	13.17 ± 6.23	13.38 ± 3.20	13.81 ± 3.49	14.31 ± 1.54	16.36 ± 2.24
Sec. m. of area	[mm ⁴]	0.178 ± 0.224	0.261 ± 0.328	0.861 ± 0.576	1.045 ± 0.715	1.406 ± 0.688	1.432 ± 0.556
Flexural rigidity	[N·mm ²]	6.48 ± 6.21	10.76 ± 11.73	25.96 ± 25.75	36.96 ± 32.06	12.90 ± 7.28	13.94 ± 6.86
Flexural modulus	[MPa]	61.41 ± 58.15	77.77 ± 85.01	38.96 ± 42.60	49.74 ± 52.00	10.86 ± 6.22	11.30 ± 7.72

Methodology: Why the wet conditions are important?







Fig. 11. Photographs of three selected species (from left): *Potamogeton crispus L., Myriophyllum spicatum L.* and *Potamogeton pectinatus L.*

Fig. 10. The maximum force (A), maximum stress (B), flexural rigidity (C) and flexural modulus (D) from the three-point bending tests of the plant stems under different test conditions: P. pectinatus L. (PP), P. crispus L. (PC) and M. spicatum L. (MS)



Fig. 12. The relationship between maximum force and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 13. The relationship between flexural rigidity and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 14. The relationship between flexural modulus and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 12. The relationship between maximum force and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 13. The relationship between flexural rigidity and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 14. The relationship between flexural modulus and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the three-point bending tests.



Fig. 15. The relationship between breaking force and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.



Fig. 16. The relationship between Young's modulus and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.



Fig. 17. The relationship between breaking stress and breaking strain for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.



Fig. 15. The relationship between breaking force and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.



Fig. 16. The relationship between Young's modulus and diameter for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.



Fig. 17. The relationship between breaking stress and breaking strain for the whole periods of measuring in the tension tests.

Conclusions

- The results show significant differences between values obtained from tests under dry and wet conditions.
- The biomechanical parameters of fresh specimens, even when they kept in water before testing in air, are very sensitive to fast drying.
- The knowledge of the seasonality of changes in biomechanical properties may be important factor in study of processes occuring in vegetated channels due to influence on distributions of water velocities.
- The use of artificial elements imitating vegetation can lead to misinterpretation of results from laboratory experiments due to the changes in biomechanical properties of this species.
- This investigation will allow to more accurate choice of methods and materials used in experiments of flow-biota interactions both in the field and in the laboratory conditions.



- [1] Aberle, J., Järvelä, J., 2015. Hydrodynamics of vegetated channels. In *Rivers–Physical, Fluv. Environ. Processes* 519-541. Springer International Publishing.
- [2] Kałuża, T., Tymiński, T., 2010. Analysis of the flow resistance in zones with flexible vegetation (in Polish). Infra. Ecol. Rural Areas 8, 79-91.
- [3] Kubrak, E., Kubrak, J., Rowiński, P.M., 2013. Application of one-dimensional model to calculate water velocity distributions over elastic elements simulating Canadian waterweed plants (Elodea Canadensis). *Acta Geophys.* 61(1): 194-210.
- [4] Kubrak, E., Kubrak, J., Kiczko, A., 2015. Experimental Investigation of Kinetic Energy and Momentum Coefficients in Regular Channels with Stiff and Flexible Elements Simulating Submerged Vegetation. Acta Geophys. 63(5): 1405-1422.
- [5] Łoboda, A.M., Przyborowski, Ł., Karpiński, M., Bialik, R.J., 2016. Biomechanical tests of aquatic plant stems: Techniques and methodology. In: Sustainable Hydraulics in the Era of Global Change. CRC Press. 158-165.
- [6] Moulia, B., 2013. Plant biomechanics and mechanobiology are convergent paths to flourishing interdisciplinary research. J. Exp. Bot. 64, 4617-4633.
- [7] Niklas, K.J. 1992. *Plant Biomechanics. An Engineering approach to plant form and function*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- [8] Pokorný, J., Květ, J., Ondok, J.P., Toul, Z., Ostrý, I., 1984. Production-ecological analysis of a plant community dominated by Elodea canadensis Michx. Aquat. Bot. 19, 263-292.





Robert J. Bialik, Vladimir I. Nikora, Łukasz Przyborowski, Mikołaj Karpiński are greatly acknowledged !!!

Thank you for your attention.

<u>Contact:</u> aloboda@igf.edu.pl